

A Member of Trinity Health

FLUOROSCOPY USERS' MANUAL FOR PHYSICIANS

St. Joseph's Hospital Health Center Medical Imaging Services 301 Prospect Ave. Syracuse, NY 13203 (315) 448-5274

Rev. 0 July 2009 Rev. 1 January 2010 Rev. 2 February 2011 Rev. 3 April 2011 Rev. 4 May 2011 Rev. 5 July 2023

Introduction

St. Joseph's Hospital Health Center is committed to provide the best quality care for our patients. As part of this commitment any physician or qualified practitioners (e.g., RT/PA) who wish to operate, direct, or supervise the use of fluoroscopy equipment at any St. Joseph's Hospital Health Center facility must demonstrate competency to do so. This competency is demonstrated by completion of didactic and practicum / hands-on demonstration training modules. The didactic module is completed by becoming familiar with the radiation physics and safety principles necessary for safe operation of fluoroscopy equipment through approved training. This training can be accomplished by becoming familiar with this manual and successfully completing the accompanying on-line test with a score of at least 80%.

The practicum / hands-on demonstration is completed by reviewing the operation of actual fluoroscopy equipment in conjunction with a hospital designated Radiologic Technologist. The completion of this practicum / hands-on demonstration must be documented and will be approved by the hospital's Radiation Safety Specialist. Upon completion of these activities the Medical Staff Office will be notified that the physician / practitioner has demonstrated fluoroscopy competency and privileges will be granted.

A Biennial exam will be completed as a refresher.

Acknowledgement

This manual was adapted, with permission, from the *Fluoroscopy Users' Manual* of Saint Luke's Hospital of Kansas City, Missouri, a 2003 Malcom Baldrige National Quality Award winner. We wish to thank Mr. Greg Sackett, CHP, Radiation Safety Officer for this permission.

Statements / Disclosures

Learning Objectives: At the end of this activity, participants will be able to

- 1. Identify general fluoroscopy concepts
- 2. Describe the basic principles of radiation physics, biology, and safety
- 3. Select optimal utilization of fluoroscopy while minimizing exposure to patients, operators, and colleagues
- 4. Describe radiation standards

Target Audience: Physicians / practitioners, who use, direct, or supervise use of fluoroscopy equipment.

Start/review dates: Program start date is April 1, 2010. Review dates are biennial

Faculty credentials: John Teixeira, M.D., Radiation Safety Officer. Garnett Hubbs, RT(N), Radiation Safety Specialist

Faculty and Planner disclosures: Dr. Teixeira and Garnett Hubbs have disclosed they do not have financial relationships with commercial interests.

Estimated time to complete the activity: 2 hours.

CME Accreditation Statement: This activity has been planned and implemented in accordance with the Essential Areas and policies of the Accreditation Council for Continuing Medical Education through the joint sponsorship of SUNY Upstate Medical University and St. Joseph's Hospital Health Center. SUNY Upstate Medical University is accredited by the ACCME to provide continuing medical education for physicians.

CME Credit Designation: SUNY Upstate Medical University designates this enduring activity for a maximum of 2.0 *AMA PRA Category 1 Credit(s)*tm. Physicians should only claim credit commensurate with the extent of their participation in this activity.

References: see page 40.

If you have questions, please feel free to contact Garnett Hubbs at 315.448.6537. Thank you.

Contents

WHY THIS MANUAL?	
RADIATION TERMS USED IN THIS MANUAL	4
CHAPTER 1: RADIATION PHYSICS	
X-RAY PRODUCTION	5
INTERACTIONS OF X-RAYS WITH MATTER	6
DIVERGENT NATURE OF RADIATION	8
DESCRIPTION OF RADIATION EXPOSURE	
CHAPTER 2: GENERAL FLUOROSCOPY CONCEPTS	10
Fluoroscopy System Description	10
AUTOMATIC BRIGHTNESS CONTROL	
IMAGING MODES	
FIELD SIZE AND COLLIMATORS	
MAGNIFICATION MODES	
CHAPTER 3: FLUOROSCOPY RADIATION ENVIRONMENT	
PATIENT EXPOSURE PROFILE	
OPERATOR EXPOSURE PROFILE	
OPERATOR LICENSURE REQUIREMENT	1.)
CHAPTER 4: RADIOBIOLOGY	
BIOLOGICAL EFFECTS OF RADIATION	
RADIATION SENSITIVITY	
DETERMINISTIC EFFECTS	
PRENATAL EFFECTS	
CHAPTER 5: CASE STUDIES OF RADIATION INJURY	
NON-SYMPTOMATIC SKIN REACTIONS	
SYMPTOMATIC SKIN REACTIONS	
PA Fluoroscopy	
STEEP FLUOROSCOPIC ANGLES	
MULTIPLE PROCEDURES	
POSITIONS OF ARMS	
INIURIES TO PERSONNEL	
CHAPTER 6: REDUCING RADIATION EXPOSURE	
Reduce Use Time	
INCREASE DISTANCE	
ROOM LIGHTING	
X-RAY TUBE POSITION	
REDUCE AIR GAPS	
MINIMIZE USE OF MAGNIFICATION	
Use Alternate Projections	
OPTIMIZING X-RAY TUBE VOLTAGE	
Use of Radiation Shields	
USE OF PROTECTIVE EQUIPMENT	
CHAPTER 7: RADIATION STANDARDS	
MEDICAL DOSE LIMITS	
Occupational Dose Limits	
ALAKA PHILOSOPHY	
ST. JOSEPH'S HOSPITAL HEALTH CENTER RADIATION SAFETY PROGRAM	
REFERENCES	40

WHY THIS MANUAL?

The use of X-ray fluoroscopy has increased dramatically in recent years and has spread well beyond the radiology department. Advances in medical technology have resulted in the development of more powerful x-ray machines to be used during complex procedures. Some of these complex procedures may involve significant radiation exposures from fluoroscopy equipment. Some of these procedures are listed in the table below. To minimize potential for excessive radiation exposure to staff and patients the physician operators who use this fluoroscopy equipment must have specialized training in the proper use of this radiation producing equipment. Inadequate training combined with increased radiation equipment outputs, higher x-ray tube heat capacities, and real-time digital image acquisition and storage can produce patient doses that induce serious patient skin damage.

Procedures Involving Extended Fluoroscopy Exposures	
Radiofrequency cardiac catheter ablation	
Percutaneous transluminal angioplasty (PTCA, PTA)	
Vascular embolization	
Stent and filter placement	
Thrombolytic and fibrinolytic procedures	
Percutaneous transhepatic cholangiography	
Endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography (ERCP)	
Trans jugular intrahepatic portosystemic shunt (TIPS)	
Percutaneous nephrostomy, biliary drainage, or stone removal	

The risk of adverse radiation effects, such as serious patient skin damage, originating from a medically necessary procedure is almost always offset by the benefit received by the patient. However, to improve the benefit-risk trade-off for these procedures, it is incumbent on the fluoroscopy equipment operator, including the physician operator, to explore the reasons behind radiation effects that have occurred and to seek means by which to avoid them or reduce their severity for future cases.

This manual is written as a primer for the physician operator who uses fluoroscopy equipment in their practice of medicine. It covers some basic principles of radiation physics, biology, and radiation safety to provide an understanding of the optimal utilization of fluoroscopy equipment, while minimizing exposures to the patient, operators, and their colleagues.

Traditional Units	SI Units	Description
Rem	Sievert (Sv)	The unit used for measuring the <i>dose equivalent</i> of radiation received by a human or some other living organism
Rad	Gray (Gy)	Unit of <i>absorbed dose</i> . It is the amount of energy deposited per unit of mass
Roentgen (R)	coulombs per kilogram	Radiation measured in air. One roentgen equals a charge release rate of 258 microcoulombs per kilogram of air

RADIATION TERMS USED IN THIS MANUAL

Chapter 1: Radiation Physics

X-ray Production

X-rays are produced when high velocity electrons are decelerated during interactions with a high atomic number material, such as the tungsten target in an X-ray tube. An electrically heated filament within the X-ray tube generates electrons that are then accelerated from the filament to hit the tungsten target by the application of a high voltage to the tube. The electron speed can exceed half the speed of light before being rapidly decelerated in the target.



The quantity of electron flow, or current, in the X-ray tube is described in units of milliamperes (mA). The maximum kinetic energy of the accelerated electrons is defined in terms of kilovolts peak potential (kVp). Fluoroscopy at St. Joseph's Hospital Health Center and its' Network facilities is usually performed using 2 to 5 mA current at a peak electrical potential of 75 to 125 kVp.

The rate of X-ray production is directly proportional to the electron flow. Higher mA values indicate more electrons are striking the tungsten target thereby producing more X-rays. Increasing kVp attracts more electrons from the filament, also increasing the rate of X-ray producing. However, this relationship is not directly proportional. Changing X-ray tube current does not effect the ultimate image (video screen, X-ray film) contrast, while changing tube voltage does (see next subsection). The total number of X-rays produced at a set kVp depends directly on the product of the mA and exposure time and is typically described in terms of mA-s.

Only a small fraction of the energy imparted by the decelerating electrons is converted into X-rays. Most of the energy *is transformed into heat that must be dissipated for the X-ray tube to continue* functioning. With high usage X-ray production may be delayed until this excess heat is adequately removed.

Once generated, the X-rays are emitted in all directions in a fairly uniform manner. The lead housing surrounding the X-ray tube limits X-ray emission through a small opening or port. The resulting primary beam of useful radiation is shaped by additional lead shutters, or collimators, that can be adjusted to provide different beam shapes or sizes.

Interactions of X-rays with matter

X-rays have several fates as they traverse tissue. The most important interactions are:



The probability of radiation interaction is a function of tissue electron density, tissue thickness, and X-ray energy (kVp). Dense material like bone and contrast dye attenuates more X-rays from the beam than less dense material (muscle, fat, air). The differential rate of attenuation provides the contrast necessary to form an image.

Density Effects: Assume 1,000 X-rays strike the following body portions. The number of X-rays reaching the recording media (film, TV monitor) directly affects the image's brightness.

900 X-rays are capable of penetrating the soft tissue (less dense), while only 400 penetrate the bone (more dense). The contract between bone and soft tissue (900/400 = 2.25) quantifies the brightness difference seen on the video/film.



As tissue thickness increases, the probability of X-ray interaction increases. Thicker body portions remove more X-rays from the useful beam than thinner portions. This effect must be compensated for while panning across variable tissue thickness to provide consistent information to the image-recording device.



Thickness Effects: Out of the 1,000 X-rays incident, 800 X-rays can penetrate the thin tissue portion while only 300 X-rays are capable of penetrating the thick portion. The contrast between these tissues (800/300=2.7) quantifies the brightness difference seen on the video/film.

Higher kVp X-rays are less likely to interact with tissue and are described as more "penetrating." Increasing kVp, thereby generating more penetrating radiation, reduces the relative image contrast (or visible difference) between dense and less dense tissue. Conversely, less radiation dose results to the patient since

less X-rays are absorbed. The following figure illustrates this effect. The X-rays that do not reach the image recording device are either absorbed in the patient (patient radiation dose) or are scattered throughout the exam room (staff radiation dose)



X-Ray Penetration Vs Tube Voltage 20-cm Thick Patient

The following figure illustrates the effect of patient thickness on X-ray penetration. For a typical procedure involving a 20-cm thick patient and an X-ray tube voltage of 80 kVp:

- 1 percent of the X-rays reach the image-recording device (e.g., image intensifier, film), yielding useful information
- **99** % of the X-rays generated pass through the patient. They are either absorbed within the patient (patient radiation exposure) or are scattered throughout the examination room (staff radiation exposure).



X-Ray Penetration VsPatient Thickness 80 kVp Tube Voltage

Divergent Nature of Radiation

The primary beam X-rays travel in straight but divergent directions as the exit the X-ray machine. The degree of divergence increases with distance away from the X-ray origin (tungsten target). Consequently, the number of X-rays traveling through a unit area decreases with increasing distance. Likewise, radiation exposure decreases with increasing distance since exposure is directly proportional to the number of X-rays interacting in a unit area.

The inverse square law describes the degree of radiation exposure reduction caused by divergence:

$$X_A \propto X_B \bullet \left(\frac{D_B}{D_A}\right)^2$$

 $\begin{bmatrix} D^{A} \end{bmatrix}$ where X_A is the radiation exposure rate at distance D_A . This relationship indicates that doubling the distance from a radiation source decreases radiation exposure by a fourth. Conversely, halving the distance increases exposure <u>four</u> times.



Inverse-Square-Law

<u>1-Meter Distance</u>: 1,000 X-rays pass through a unit area. The amount of X-rays per unit area is 1,000.

<u>2-Meter Distance</u>: With increasing distance, the beam diverges to an area 4 times the original area. The same 1,000 X-rays are evenly distributed over the new area (4 times the original). Thus the amount of X-rays per unit area is 250 or 1/4 the original. The resulting radiation exposure is 1/4 less.

Application of inverse square law principles <u>can yield significant reductions in patient and operator</u> <u>radiation exposure.</u>

Example 1:

An operator normally stands 1 foot away from the patient during angiography. The exposure rate to the operator at this point is 20 mRem/min (0.2 mSv/min) and total angiography time is 2 min. What is the radiation exposure reduction should the operator stand 2 feet away?

Solution 1:

The original exposure was 40 mRem (0.4mSv) that is 20 mRem x 2 min. The new exposure would be:

2

I ____12= DD212

I 1 12

 $= (I_2) (D_{22})$

```
(I) (D)
```

 $(40 \text{ mRem}) (1 \text{ foot.}^2) = (X \text{ mRem}) (2 \text{ feet.}^2)$

 $(40)\,(1)=(\,X\,)~(4)$

40 / 4 = X

X = 10 mRem (0.1 mSv)

This is a factor of 4 reduction in radiation exposure by doubling the distance from 1 foot to 2 feet from the x-ray source!

Description of Radiation Exposure

There is a myriad of terms describing radiation and radiation exposure. This is often confusing even to those quite familiar with radiation physics. Terms which the physician should be aware of include those that:

- Describe X-ray machine radiation output;
- Describe patient radiation exposure; and
- Describe personal radiation risk



X-ray machine output is described in terms of Entrance Skin Exposure (**ESE**) which is the amount of radiation delivered to the patient's skin at the beam's entrance point. ESE may also be described as "table-top dose." Most X-ray machine regulations are defined in ESE. The units of ESE are Roentgens per minute -R/min (Coulomb/Kilogram), recognizing Wilhelm Roentgen, the discoverer of X-rays, pictured left. Patient radiation exposure is described in terms of radiation dose. Radiation dose is the energy imparted per unit mass of tissue and has the units of **Rad** (or Gray). Immediate biological effects caused by radiation are described in terms of Rad.

Occupational radiation exposure is also described in terms of radiation dose. However, the unit used is called the <u>**Rem**</u> (or Sieverts). Rems are synonymous with risk, with increasing Rem equivalent to increasing probability of latent

health effects. For the case of fluoroscopy, the risk of long-term effects from 1 Rad of patient dose is considered equivalent to 1 Rem (i.e., 1 Rad = 1 Rem).

Chapter 2: General Fluoroscopy Concepts

Fluoroscopy System Description

Modern fluoroscopy imaging systems consist of the X-ray tube producing X-rays, captured by an Image Intensifier (II), which converts the X-ray energy into light. The light output is then optically distributed to a closed-circuit video system ultimately producing a "live" image on a video monitor. The light output can



also be distributed to a spot film or cinematography recording systems, though the output must be greater for these imaging modalities.

Radiation exposure during fluoroscopy is directly proportional to the length of time the unit is activated by the foot switch. Unlike regular X-ray units, **fluoroscopic units do not have an automatic timer to terminate the exposure after it is activated**. Instead, depression of the foot switch determines the length of the exposure, which ceases only after the foot switch is released.

Fluoroscopy machines are equipped with a timer and an **alarm that** sounds at the end of 5 minutes. The alarm serves as a <u>reminder</u> of the elapsed time and can then be reset for another 5 minutes. The New York State Department of Health requires all radiation procedures to be logged, including fluoroscopy procedures. We log these fluoroscopy procedures by noting the total elapsed fluoroscopy "ontime" for every procedure.

Automatic Brightness Control

Modern fluoroscopy machines produce images with an II that captures the radiation exiting the patient. The II brightens the image level sufficiently so that the TV tube can display the image on a video screen. The machine can be operated in either a manual mode or in an automatic brightness control (ABC) mode.

The radiation exposure rate is independent of the patient size, body part imaged and tissue type when manual mode is used. However, <u>the image quality and brightness are greatly affected (often adversely) by</u> <u>these factors when the operator "pans" across tissues with different thickness and composition.</u> For this reason, most fluoroscopic examinations are performed using ABC.

ABC mode was developed to provide a consistent image quality during dynamic imaging. When using ABC, the II output is constantly monitored. Machine factors are then adjusted automatically to bring the brightness to a constant, proper level. When there is inadequate brightness (or too much), the ABC increases (or decreases) the mA, kVp, or both, depending on the device manufacturer.

Both patient and operator factors influence the number of X-rays reaching the II. The ABC compensates brightness loss caused by decreased II radiation reception by generating more X-rays (increasing radiation exposure) and/or producing more penetrating X-rays (reducing image contrast).

Imaging Modes

Normal mode is used in the majority of fluoroscopy procedures. The radiation output is sufficient to provide video images for guiding procedures or observing dynamic functions. The typical exposure rate at the x-ray beam entrance into the patient (ESE, or Entrance Skin Exposure) is 2 Roentgens per minutes (2,000 mR/min) or converted to milliCoulomb/Kilogram it would be 0.516 mC/Kg.



Radiation Exposures per Imaging Mode

The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) regulates the construction of all fluoroscopy systems. For routine fluoroscopy applications, the FDA limits the **maximum ESE to 10 Roentgen/min**. The New York State Department of Health regulates all x-ray devices and their use and further reduces the ESE limit to 5 Roentgen/min for what is considered an average sized fluoroscopy patient, and *St. Joseph's Hospital Health Center equipment's radiation output is always set below these Federal and State limits*. The use of higher radiation rates or "boost" modes are useful in situations requiring high video image resolution. This is sometimes referred to as High Dose Rate or HDR. ESE of up to 20 Roentgen/min is permitted for short durations in HDR mode. Special operator reminders, such as audible alarms, are activated during "boost" modes.

Although almost all of the fluoroscopy equipment at St. Joseph's Hospital Health Center facilities has been upgraded to digital equipment, some physician operators may still encounter cineangiography; therefore a discussion on cineangiography can still be useful. Cineangiography involves exposing cinematic film to the II output, providing a permanent record of the imaged sequence. The II output required to expose cinematic film is much higher than the level needed for video imaging. As such, X-ray production must be increased to adequately expose the cinematic film. Consequently, dose rates during cine recording are usually 10 to

20 times higher than normal fluoroscopy (i.e., ESE of <u>90 Roentgen/min</u> <u>or greater</u>). For this reason, judicial use of cineangiography is required.



Field Size and Collimators

The maximum useful area of the X-ray beam, or field size, is machine specific. Most fluoroscopy systems allow the operator to reduce the field size through the use of lead shutters or collimators.

Irradiating *larger field sizes increases the probability of scatter radiation production*. A portion of the increased scatter will enter the II, **degrading** the resulting video image. Prudent use of collimators can also improve image quality by blocking-out video "bright areas," such as lung regions, allowing better resolution of other tissues.



Collimator use also reduces the total volume of tissue irradiated. The subsequent benefit-risk ratio is improved when irradiation of tissue with little diagnostic value is avoided.

Magnification Modes

Many fluoroscopy systems have one or several magnification modes. Magnification is achieved by electronically manipulating a smaller radiation II input area over the same II output area. A reduction in radiation input subsequently results, lowering image brightness. The automatic brightness control (ABC) system, in turn, compensates for the lower output brightness by increasing radiation production and subsequent exposure to patient and staff.



The following Table illustrates the effect of changing Field-Of-View, or magnification modes, for an analog (non-digital) fluoroscopy system used at St. Joseph's Hospital facilities (e.g. OEC C-arm):

Mag Mode	ESE (R/min)	Increase
(Field-Of-View)		Factor
Normal (9 inch)	1.2	1.0
Mag 1 (6 inch)	2.9	2.4
Mag 2 (4.5 inch)	5.2	4.3

Last Image Hold

Newer fluoroscopy units are often equipped with a last-view freeze-frame feature and/or video recording. Use of these modes allows the operator to view a static image at leisure, avoiding continuous patient and staff radiation exposure caused by constant fluoroscopy use.

CHAPTER 3: FLUOROSCOPY RADIATION ENVIRONMENT

Patient Exposure Profile

We are all exposed to ionizing radiation from natural sources at all times. This radiation is called environmental or natural background radiation whose main sources are radioactive substances in the earth's crust, radioactive gas from the earth, cosmic rays from outer space and trace amounts of radioactivity in the body



The greatest single source of *man-made radiation exposure* to the average person in the United States comes from medical irradiation. Medical *doses* range from perhaps 10 mRads (0.1 mGray) for a chest xray to thousands of Rad (Grays) in the treatment of cancer. The average U.S. citizen gets an *effective dose* from medical radiation of about 100 mRem (1 Sievert) per year.

Studies indicate that this exposure level can be reduced by optimizing the use of fluoroscopy (NRCP 1989):

-		
MNATURAL	Optimizing Action	Potential Dose
gan 12% INTERAN		Reduction Factor
Cover State	Audio output related to Xray machine output	1.3
Syster Streesen	Optimization of Video Camera system	3
	Required switching between High (Boost) and Normal modes	1.5
CO-4% FAILOUT O-4% MISCELLANEOUS O-2% OCCUPATIONAL CO-04% NUCLEAR DISCHARGES	Optimizing Operator Technique	2 to 10
12% ARTIFICIAL		

During normal mode fluoroscopy, the average patient ESE is approximately 2 Roentgen/min. The level of radiation exposure falls off exponentially with increasing tissue depth due to attenuation and inversesquare effects. Only approximately 1% of the original radiation beam reaches the II for image generation.

The ESE exposure rate can be as high as 30 Roentgen/min under certain conditions using a High Dose Rate (HDR) or "boost" mode if the patient's skin is close to the X-ray tube. During cineangiography, ESE may even exceed 90 Roentgen/min.

Operator Exposure Profile

The majority of the radiation dose received by the operator (provided the primary beam is avoided) is *due to scattered radiation from the patient. After interacting with the patient, radiation is scattered more or less uniformly in all directions.*

The intensity of scatter decreases with increasing distance, due to inverse square law effects. *Consequently, scatter radiation is highest near its source* (X-ray beam entrance point on the patient). Because radiation scattered in the forward direction (into the patient) is subject to further tissue attenuation, **radiation levels are significantly lower above the table than below**. This effect is preferable since protective equipment worn by the operator (lead aprons) protects the body regions receiving highest exposure (waist, thighs).





The scatter radiation profile tilts with the X-ray tube should it moved from the posterior-to-anterior (PA) projection. Higher exposure to the operator's head and eyes results during oblique angle projections where the X-ray tube is tilted towards the operator (II is tilted away from the operator). Conversely, radiation exposure is decreased when the X-ray tube is tilted away from the operator (II tilted towards the operator). When possible, the operator should work on the II side of the table when oblique angles are being imaged.

Effect of Rotating X-ray System



In general, an operator positioned 3 feet from the x-ray beam entrance area will receive 0.1% of the patient's ESE. Staff members positioned further away receive much less exposure due to inverse square law effects. In almost all cases, the operator will receive the highest occupational radiation exposure during the fluoroscopic procedure.

Operator Licensure Requirement

In New York State only licensed practitioners (physicians, dentists, podiatrists and chiropractors) and licensed Radiologic Technologists are permitted to apply radiation to people and then only in the course of their professional practice (i.e. at the direction of licensed practitioners). Nurses and physician assistants are not authorized to apply radiation to patients unless they are also a licensed radiologic technologist. Physicians may not delegate the use of x-ray equipment to staff that are not licensed radiologic technologists because this is a licensed activity (NYS DOH1).

In addition, St. Joseph's Hospital Health Center has established credentialing requirements for physician's who wish to use / operate fluoroscopy equipment.

This Page Intentionally Blank

CHAPTER 4: RADIOBIOLOGY

Biological effects of radiation

The lethal dose (LD 50) in humans from acute, whole body radiation exposure is approximately 450 rads (450 centiGray - cGy). The temperature elevation in tissue caused by the energy imparted is $<< 1^{\circ}$ C. The severe biological response is due to ionizing nature of X-ray radiation, involving removal of electrons from molecular structures.

Atoms ionized by radiation may change chemically becoming free radicals causing them to be *more reactive*. These free radicals can damage a cell's DNA by altering their chemical bonds. The *DNA may also be altered directly by radiation*. In either case if the DNA is damaged, several things can happen. The most likely is that the damage will be repaired before the end of the cell's cycle. If not, the cell will probably die. There is some chance that the cell will survive and behave differently because of the damaged DNA. For example, it may become malignant. Large radiation doses may kill many cells causing noticeable damage such as erythema or epilation. Low doses do not cause such significant changes but may produce a malignant change.



Photomicrograph showing examples of radiation-induced chromosome damage in cancer cells following radiotherapy treatment (Bushong 1980).

Abnormal formations are readily seen at high dose levels, but are also observed at the lower doses received by diagnostic patients and highly exposed workers.

Human population groups in which radiation effects have been observed (Bushong 1980):

American Radiologists, Atom bomb survivors Radiation-accident victims Marshall Islanders (Atomic bomb fallout) Residents of areas having high levels of environmental (background) radiation Uranium miners, Radium watch-dial painters Radioiodine patients, Children treated for enlarged thymus Ankylosing spondylitis patients, Thorotrast patients (contrast containing radioactive material) Diagnostic irradiation in-utero, Volunteer convicts, Cyclotron workers

Radiation sensitivity

Radiosensitivity is a function of the cell cycle with late S phase being the most radioresistant and G1, G2, and especially mitosis being more radiosensitive. According to the Law of Bergonie-Tribondeau,

radiosensitivity is highest in undifferentiated and actively proliferating cells, proportionate to the amount of mitotic and developmental activity that they must undergo. For example, bone marrow is much more sensitive to radiation than nerve cells, which have an extremely long cell cycle. The following list provides a relative ranking of cellular radiosensitivity (Seibert 1996):



The total dose, dose rate, fractionation scheme, volume of irradiated tissue and inherent radiation sensitivity all affect a given organ's response to radiation. Generally, a large total dose, high dose rate, small fractionation schedule (as encountered during fluoroscopy), and large irradiated volumes cause a greater degree of damage. Less biological damage occurs when the radiation dose is fractionated (delivered over several different events as opposed to all at once), as is the condition of most operator/staff exposures. Dose fractionation allows time for cellular repair.

Deterministic Effects

A large number of ionizing radiation effects occur at high doses. These all seem to appear only above a threshold dose. While the threshold may vary from one person to another, it is about 200 Rad (200 cGy). The severity of these effects increases with increasing dose above the threshold. These so-called non-stochastic or deterministic effects are usually divided into tissue-specific local changes and whole-body effects, which lead to acute radiation syndrome.

Local effects include erythema, epilation, sterility, and cataracts. The first three of these can be temporary at doses of 200 Rad (200 cGy) or permanent at doses greater than 600 Rad (600 cGy). Above 50 Rad (50 cGy) a decrease in leukocyte counts can be detected. Most of these deterministic effects are seen within days or weeks after the exposure, but cataracts may appear a few years after exposure.

The following Table provides examples of possible radiation effects to skin caused by typical fluoroscopy exposures. Note that patient and technique factors can substantially increase exposure



rates, significantly reducing the time necessary for the subsequent effect.

[Specific case studies of radiation-induced skin injury are presented in the next section]

Effect	Threshold Rad (cGy)	Hours of Fluoro On-Time, at 5 R/min	Hours of Cine OnTime, 30 R/min	Time to onset of effect
Transient	200	0.7	0.1	24 hr
Erythema				
Epilation	300	1	0.2	3 wk
Erythema	600	2	0.3	10 day
Pericarditis	800	2.7	0.4	>10 wk
Dermal Necrosis	1800	6	1	>10 wk
Skin Cancer	None Known	N/A	N/A	> 5 yr

Cataract induction is of special interest to fluoroscopy operators since the lens of eye often receives the most significant levels of radiation (provided lead aprons are used). Radiation is known to induce cataracts in humans from single *exposures* of 200 Rad (200 cGy). Higher exposures can be tolerated when accumulated over time. Cumulative exposures of up to 750 Rads (750 cGy) have resulted in no evidence of cataracts. Personnel exposed to the maximum levels each year would accumulate an effective dose equivalent of only 450 Rems (4.5 Sieverts) over 30 years. As such, the risk for cataracts is likely to be small.

Stochastic Effects

Somatic effects induced by radiation may include carcinogenesis. Experimental data suggests a nonthreshold linear response to the dose-effect relationship. Equal increases of dose cause a corresponding equal increase in the incidence of the effects. Such effects are also known as stochastic or probabilistic phenomena.



The U.S. National Council of Radiation Protection and Measurements (NCRP 1987) estimates that an exposure of 1 Rem (10 mSv) to 1 million persons would result in an increase in cancer deaths from 190,000 to 190,400; an increase of 0.2 percent.

There is limited data on the risk estimates for patients exposed during diagnostic procedures. However, studies on patients who have undergone radiation therapy indicate that the incidence for secondary malignancy ranges from 6 to 13 percent. The sources of these malignancies are attributed to (Hall 1999):

- Continued lifestyle (e.g., continued tobacco abuse or sun exposure)
- Genetic susceptibility (e.g., familial cancers)
- Treatment-induced second malignancies

Studies with an adequate cohort size clearly indicate an excess of second cancers induced by radiotherapy. Studies suggest that the risks are concentrated in younger patients, the breast is especially sensitive to radiation and that excess cancers develop with a latency of 10 years or more (Hall 1999).

Cancer risk estimates from lower radiation exposures are difficult to determine because of the high incidence of malignancy in the general, unexposed population. The effects from lower radiation exposures (such as those encountered occupationally) are extrapolated from observations made at fairly high doses (Upton 1999). The validity of this extrapolation is constantly being re-evaluated. Current guidelines maintain that current risk estimates are the best available for the purpose of establishing acceptable radiation exposure limits.

Unlike deterministic effects, stochastic effects are assumed to be unaffected by dose fractionation. The total risk to an individual is continually increased with increasing radiation exposure. For radiological workers, small savings in radiation exposure realized by altering technique can result in significant reductions in personal risk when integrated over a working lifetime:

Annual Dose	30 year total dose	Incremental Fatal
Rem (cSv)	Rem (cSv)	Cancer Risk
0.5	15	0.6%
1	30	1.2%
2	60	2.4%
5	150	6%

Radiation exposure can cause chromosomal damage that may be "repaired" with an incorrect sequence and subsequently be passed on to the next generation (genetic effects). Radiation does not cause new types of mutations, but simply increases the incidence of certain mutations above their natural rate of occurrence. Controlled studies of genetic effects are only available from animal models. The 7 million mice, "Megamouse" project revealed the following conclusions (Lam 1992):

- Different mutations differ significantly in the rate they are produced by a given dose.
- There is a substantial dose rate effect with no threshold for mutation production.
- The male was more radiosensitive than the female. The males carried most of the radiation induced genetic burden.
- The genetic consequences of a radiation dose can be greatly reduced by extending the time interval between irradiation and conception. Six months to a year is recommended.
- The amount of radiation required to double the natural and spontaneous mutation rate is between 20 to 200 Rads (20 to 200 cGy).

Prenatal Effects

Animal studies have shown that the embryo and fetus are more sensitive to the effects of radiation than the adult. There are three general prenatal effects observed that are dependent upon the dose and stage of fetal development:

- Lethality.
- Congenital abnormalities at birth.
- Delayed effects, not visible at birth, but manifested later in life.

250 rads or more delivered to a human embryo before 2 to 3 weeks of gestation will likely result in prenatal death. Those infants, who survive to term, generally do not exhibit congenital abnormalities. Irradiation of

the human fetus between 4 to 11 weeks of gestation may cause multiple severe abnormalities of many organs.



However, such procedures should be avoided if alternate techniques are available, or measures should be taken to minimize patient/fetal exposure. Considering legal complications resulting from non-optimal prenatal radiation exposure, it is strongly suggested that physicians consult with a Board-Certified Radiologist before performing fluoroscopy on potentially pregnant patients.

CHAPTER 5: CASE STUDIES OF RADIATION INJURY

Non-Symptomatic Skin Reactions

Patients may not be aware of skin changes that take place as a result of lengthy fluoroscopic procedures (Wagner 1999), and even physicians are relatively unaware of the possibility of occurrence.

- Physical examination one year following coronary angioplasty identified a 1 x 2.5 cm depigmented area with telangiectasia on the patient's left shoulder. Total fluoroscopy time: 34 minutes.
- One year after PTCA involving 66 minutes of fluoroscopy, a 10-cm diameter hyperpigmented area with telangiectasia was evident on the patient's right shoulder.

These skin changes were in areas not visible to the patients and were only identified upon physical examination.

Symptomatic Skin Reactions

The circumstances leading to symptomatic radiation induced changes are varied. Case reports are grouped according to common factors in order to identify the reasons for radiation-induced effects.

PA Fluoroscopy

The posteroanterior (PA) orientation of the fluoroscope, when properly configured with the image intensifier down close to the patient, is probably the least problematic with regard to ESE rate. However, extended fluoroscopy usage has resulted in reports of skin damage. The following case study illustrates this effect (Shope 1995).



On March 29, 1990, a 40-year-old male underwent coronary angiography, coronary angioplasty and a second angiography procedure (due to complications) followed by a coronary artery by-pass graft. Total fluoroscopy time estimated to be > 120 minutes. The image shows the area of injury six to eight weeks following the procedures. The injury was described as "turning red about one month after the procedure and peeling a week later." In mid-May 1990, it had the appearance of a second-degree burn. Appearance of skin injury approximately 16 to 21 weeks following the procedures with small-ulcerated area present.







Appearance of skin injury approximately 18 to 21 months following procedures, evidencing tissue necrosis (and closeup of injury area)

Appearance of patient's back following skin grafting procedure

Additional reported cases of radiation-induced injury (Wagner 1999):

- Following a transjugular intrahepatic portosystemic shunt (TIPS) procedure involving 90 minutes of fluoroscopy, a discharged patient developed erythema and discoloration on his back. One year after the TIPS procedure an ulcer developed, which did not heal, and two years later it was 4-cm in size. A split thickness skin graft from the right buttock was performed.
- Following a TIPS procedure lasting 6 hours and 30 minutes (no indication of total fluoroscopy time), a 16- x 18-cm hyperpigmented area developed on the patient's back and progressed over a period of several months into a central area with ulceration. After 14 months a split thickness skin graft was performed leaving a depressed scar at the surgical sight.

These case studies indicate that extensive use of fluoroscopy can induce severe skin damage, even under the most favorable geometries.

Steep Fluoroscopic Angles

When the fluoroscope is oriented at a lateral or an oblique angle, two factors combine to increase the patient's ESE rate. *The first is that a thicker mass of body tissue must be penetrated*. The second is that the skin of the patient is closer to the source because of the wider span of anatomy (Wagner 1999).

- A PA oblique angle using a C-arm involved 57 minutes of fluoroscopy. Twenty-four hours later the patient reported a stabbing pain in his right thorax. Three days later an erythema developed which evolved into a superficial ulcer. At two and half months after the procedure the area was approximately 12-cm x 6.5-cm and described as a brownish pigmented area with telangiectasia, central infiltration and hyperkeratosis.
- A PA oblique angle was employed during a catheter ablation procedure involving 190 minutes of fluoroscopy. A symptomatic discoloration was noted several days after the procedure on the patient's left upper back. In the next few weeks the area had become painful and was draining. At seven weeks the area was approximately 7- x14-cm in size and described as a rectangular erythema with ulcers. After treatment, there was a gradual lessening of tenderness with re-epithelialization, leaving a mottled slightly depressed plaque.
- A steep PA oblique angle through the right shoulder was employed involving 51 minutes of fluoroscopy. Fourteen days after the procedure, an erythema appeared on the right shoulder that progressed into moist superficial ulcer with poor healing. This degenerated into a deep muscular ulcer requiring a myocutaneous skin graft approximately 14 months after the procedure.

The temporal progressions of these effects are consistent with high levels of acute exposure to x-ray radiation. The temporal differences in the responses are due in part to the levels of radiation received, but are also likely due to variations in radiation sensitivity amongst the patients.

Steep angled views, *especially in large patients*, often require penetration of large masses of tissue and dense bone, creating situations in which x-ray output rates are driven near or at the maximum (10 R/min).

Multiple Procedures

Although intervals between procedures should permit the skin to recover, healing might not be complete. This may lower the tolerance of the skin for further procedures (Wagner 1999):

- A patient underwent two PTCA procedures about one year apart. Skin changes appeared approximately three weeks after the second procedure. At seven weeks a cutaneous ulcer had developed over the right scapula and healed without grafting.
- A patient underwent two unsuccessful cardiac ablations involving approximately 100 minutes of fluoroscopy in a lateral oblique orientation. Approximately 12 hours after the second attempt, an

erythema developed in the right axilla. At one month the area was red and blistering. At two years the area was described as a 10 x 5cm atrophic indurated plaque with lineal edges, hyper- and hypopigmentation, and telangiectasia. The patient was described as having difficulty raising her right arm.

- Three PTCAs were performed on the patient, the last two completed on the same day approximately 6 months after the first procedure. The total fluoroscopy time was approximately 51 minutes. Erythema was noted immediately after the last procedure. This progressed from a prolonged erythema with poor healing into a deep dermal necrosis. The patient underwent a successful split thickness skin graft two years after the last procedure.
- Past treatment of pulmonary tuberculosis often resulted in many patients undergoing extensive exposure to fluoroscopy. These patients had a demonstrated high incidence of breast cancer.

Previous procedures can lower the skin's tolerance for future irradiation. Prior to commencing any lengthy fluoroscopic procedure, the patient's medical history should be reviewed. The skin of the patient should be examined to ascertain if any skin damage is apparent should the patient have a history of lengthy fluoroscopic examinations. Direct irradiation of damaged areas should be avoided when possible.

Positions of arms

Keeping arms out of the x-ray beam during some procedures can be a difficult objective. Careful attention must be given to providing the arms with a resting position that will not restrict circulation but will at the same time maintain the arms in an area that is outside the radiation field (Archer 2000).

A middle-aged woman had a history of progressively worsening episodes of arrhythmia. A radiofrequency electrophysiological cardiac catheter ablation was scheduled to treat the condition. The procedure employed 20 min of beam-on time for each plane of a biplane fluoroscope. Prior to the procedure the separator cones were removed so that the fluoroscopic c-arms could be easily rotated around the patient. The separator cone is a spacer attached to the tube housing designed to keep the patient at a reasonable distance from the x-ray source. This is done specifically to avoid the high skin-dose rates that can be encountered near the tube port.



The patient's arms were originally placed at the patient's side but the right arm later fell into a lower position directly in front of this x-ray tube. However, personnel were not aware of this change because sterile covers were draped over the patient. The right humerus was directly in the beam at the port. Because the separator cones were removed, the arm was only about 20–30 cm from the focal spot. With the soft tissue and bone of the arm directly in the beam, the automatic brightness control drove the output to high levels at the surface of the arm. The cumulative dose probably exceeded 2500 Rads (25 Gray).

The patient was released from the hospital the day after the procedure. At the time there were no complaints regarding her arm and no indication of erythema. About three weeks after the procedure, a bright erythema was demonstrated.





The condition worsened and at five months a large ulcer the size of the collimated x-ray port developed.







The separator cone ensures that a minimal distance between the X-ray source and the patient is maintained (inverse square law effects). For some X-ray machines, the separator cone is designed to be removable in order to provide more flexibility in positioning for some special surgical procedures (e.g., portable C-arms). There is a risk of very high dose rates to the skin surface when it is removed.

Skin sensitivity

Some patients may be hypersensitive to radiation due to pre-existing health conditions (Wagner 1999).

• Erythema developed after diagnostic angiography and liver biopsy. Skin necrosis requiring rib resection evolved in the same patient after a TIPS procedure. The wound remained open for five years before a successful cover was put in place. Investigation into the events revealed that the patient suffered from multiple problems, including Sjogren's syndrome and mixed connective tissue disease.

Injuries to personnel

The following are modern-day examples of how improper use of the fluoroscope can lead to injuries in personnel (Wagner 1999).

• Hands of physicians have incurred physiologic changes indicative of high cumulative doses of chronic low-dose-rate irradiation. Brown fingernails and epidermal degeneration are typical signs.

These changes were the result of years of inserting hands into the x-ray field with the x-ray tube above the patient.

• Four cases of radiation-induced cataract have been reported in personnel from procedures utilizing the x-ray tube above the patient orientation.

Doses accumulated to hands and eyes from frequently using the fluoroscope with the tube above the patient can be extremely high. Also, portable fluoroscopy equipment is as capable of producing significant radiation output as stationary equipment. Only routine application of proper radiation management techniques will be effective at avoiding such high doses.

CHAPTER 6: REDUCING RADIATION EXPOSURE

Reduce Use Time, "On-Time"

Radiation exposure during fluoroscopy is directly proportional to the length of time the unit is activated by the foot switch. Reductions can be realized by:

- Not exposing patient while not viewing the TV image;
- Pre-planning images. An example would be to ensure correct patient positioning before imaging to eliminate unnecessary "panning;"
- Avoiding redundant views;
- Operator awareness of the 5-minute time notifications.

Fluoroscopy's real-time imaging capabilities are invaluable for guiding procedures or observing dynamic functions. However, there is no advantage over conventional X-ray techniques when viewing static images. Use of Last-Image-Hold features, when available, allows static images to be viewed without continuously exposing patient and operator to radiation.

Human eye integration time or recognition time of a fluoroscopy image is approximately 0.2 seconds. Therefore, short "looks" usually accomplish the same as a continuous exposure. Prolonged observation will not improve the image brightness or resolution (Seifert 1996).

Increase Distance

Example One in Chapter 1 illustrated the benefits obtained from a small increase in operator distance from the patient. Personal technique should be self-evaluated periodically to identify whether opportunities for increasing distance exist. Standing one step further away from the patient can cut the physician's exposure rate by a factor of 4 (AAPM 1998).





Dose from Different Approaches

In percutaneous transluminal techniques, using the femoral approach rather than the brachial approach yields distance benefits to the operator.

Substantial increases in operator distance may be realized through remote fluoroscopy activation whenever automated contrast injectors are used. Many procedures require staff to intermittently interact with the patient near the fluoroscopy system. The operator can reduce staff exposure by delaying fluoroscopy until these activities are completed and/or by alerting these personnel when imaging; especially during high dose rate modes like cineangiography.



Room Lighting

Provisions should be made to eliminate extraneous light that can interfere with the fluoroscopic examination. Room lighting should be dim to enhance visualization of the video image. Excessive light can decrease the ability of the eye to resolve detail. Measures taken to improve detail often involve increasing patient/staff exposure.

X-ray Tube Position

All fluoroscopy examinations should be performed with the X-ray tube underneath the examination table. Whenever possible, the operator should avoid the X-ray tube side of the table when imaging oblique or lateral images.



Always Avoid!



Better Practice

On some systems (portable C-arms, cardiac systems), the operator must be aware of the X-ray tube-to-patient distance. Positions closer can lead to extremely high patient skin exposures due to Inverse-Square-Law effects (case study). Minimizing the air gap between the II and the patient typically ensures that this distance is maintained. New York State Department of Health regulations require this distance to be at least 18 inches (NYS-DOH2).

Reduce Air Gaps

Keeping the II as close to patient's surface as possible significantly reduces patient and operator exposures. The II will intercept the primary beam earlier and allow less scatter to operator and staff. In addition, The ABC system would not need to compensate for the increased X-ray tube to II distance caused by the air gap. The presence of an air gap will always increase patient/operator radiation exposure.



Care should be taken whenever image view angles are changed during the procedure (e.g, changing from an ANT to a steep LAO). The II is often moved away from the patient while changing X-ray tube position. Large air gaps can result if the table or II height remains unadjusted:

Example 2:

After changing views, a 10-cm air gap between II and patient is inadvertently left behind. What is the increase in radiation exposure to a 20-cm thick patient positioned with the table 30 cm away from the X-ray source, assuming the ABC compensates by increasing mA only.

Solution 2:

Assuming the air gap could have been eliminated by moving II closer, and that the brightness loss follows the inverse square law:

$$\frac{X_1}{X_2} \propto \left(\frac{D_2}{D_1}\right)^2 \propto \left(\frac{30 + 20 + 10}{30 + 20}\right)^2 \propto 1.44$$

The brightness level with the air gap is only 69% of the zero air gap brightness. The ABC system compensates for brightness loss by producing 31% more X-rays. The exposure rate to the patient and staff is subsequently increased by 31%.

When using fluoroscopy **portable C-Arm systems, eliminating the air gap ensures that the table top is as far away as possible from the X-ray tube, minimizing radiation exposure to the patient's skin**. Note that the separator cone should always be re-positioned before commencing fluoroscopy on portable C-arm systems. Reducing air gaps between patient and II also reduces image blur. Blurring of the image is caused by geometric magnification caused by air gaps:



Minimize Use of Magnification

Use of magnification modes, in analog equipment, significantly increases radiation exposure to patient, operator, and staff (See Chapter 2). Magnification modes should be employed only when the increased resolution of fine detail is necessary.

Collimate Primary Beam

Collimating the primary beam to view only tissue regions of interest reduces unnecessary tissue exposure and improves the patient's overall benefit-to-risk ratio. Optimal collimation also reduces image noise caused by scatter radiation originating from outside the region of interest (See Chapter 2).



A good rule of thumb is that *fluoroscopy images should NOT be totally ''round''* when collimators are available for use.

Use Alternate Projections

Steeply angled oblique images (e.g., LAO 50 with 30 cranial tilt) are typically associated with increased radiation exposure since:

- X-rays must pass through more tissue before reaching II. ABC compensates for X-ray loss caused by increased attenuation by generating more X-rays;
- Steep oblique angles are typically associated with increased X-ray tube to II distances. The ABC compensates for brightness loss cause by inverse square law effects by generating more X-rays.





When possible, use alternate views (e.g., ANT, LAO with no tilt) when similar information can be obtained. The physician can reduce personal exposure by re-locating himself when oblique views are taken. For example, dose rates can be reduced by a factor of 5 when the physician stands on the II side of the table (versus X-ray tube side) during a lateral projection (AAPM 1998).

Optimizing X-ray Tube Voltage

Selection of an adequate kVp value will allow sufficient X-ray penetration while reducing the patient's dose rate. In general, the highest kVp should be used which is consistent with the degree of contrast required (high kVp decreases image contrast).

St. Joseph's Hospital has many resources available (e.g., Radiologic Technologists, Staff Radiologists, Health Physicist, etc.) to assist the operator in optimizing the fluoroscopy image while minimizing patient exposure.

Use of Radiation Shields

Use of radiation shielding is highly effective in intercepting and reducing exposure from scattered radiation. The operator can *realize radiation exposure reductions of more than 90 percent* (not 100%) through the correct use of any of the following shielding options. Shields are most effective when placed as near to the radiation scatter source as possible (i.e., close to patient).

Many fluoroscopy systems contain side-table drapes or similar types of lead shielding. Use of these items can significantly reduce operator exposures. Many operators have had little difficulty incorporating their use, even during procedures requiring multiple re-positioning of the system.



Ceiling-mounted lead acrylic face shields should be used whenever these units are available, especially during cardiac procedures. Correct positioning is obtained when the operator can view the patient, especially the beam entrance location, through the shield.

Portable radiation shields can

also be employed to reduce exposure. Situations where these can be used include shielding nearby personnel who remain stationary during the procedure.

Use of Protective Equipment

Use of leaded garments substantially reduces radiation exposure by protecting specific body regions. Many fluoroscopy users would exceed regulatory limits should lead aprons not be worn. All staff are required to wear lead aprons whenever fluoroscopy is being used at St. Joseph's Hospital Health Center facilities.

Lead aprons do not provide total protection from radiation. An approximate 90% reduction in radiation exposure is obtained from wearing a lead apron. It should be noted that this effectiveness is reduced when more penetrating radiation is employed (e.g., ABC response to thick patients).. So called "light" aprons should be scrutinized to ensure that adequate levels of shielding are provided.





Thyroid shields provide similar levels of protection to the individual's neck region. Wearing of a Thyroid Collar lead shield, although not required, is highly *recommended* for operators who use fluoroscopy extensively during their practice.

Optically clear lead glasses are available that can reduce the operator's eye exposure by 85-90% (Siefert 1996). However, due to the relatively high threshold for cataract development, leaded glasses are only recommended for personnel with very high fluoroscopy workloads (e.g., busy Radiology and Cardiology Interventionists). Glasses selected should be "wrap-around" in design to protect the eye lens from side angle exposures. Leaded glasses also provide the additional benefit of providing splash protection.

Leaded gloves provide similar protection to the user's hands. However, trade-offs associated with use include loss in tactile feel and increased encumbrance. For these reasons, use of leaded gloves is left to the operator's discretion. To minimize radiation exposure to the hands, the operator should:

- Avoid placing his hands in the primary beam at all times;
- Place hands only on top of the patient. Hands should never be placed underneath the patient or tabletop during imaging;
- Consider using leaded gloves if hand placement within the X-ray beam is necessary or positioned nearby for extended periods of time.

CHAPTER 7: RADIATION STANDARDS

Medical Dose Limits

Medical radiation exposures are intended to provide direct benefit to the patient. When the exposure is justified and the use optimized, the dose is considered as low as is compatible with the medical purposes. As such, regulatory bodies have not defined dose limits for medical procedures.



Occupational Dose Limits

The New York State Department of Health has established upper limits on the amount of radiation that occupationally exposed personnel can receive. The following Table provides the dose limits currently in effect (NYS-DOH2):

Part of Body	NYS Annual Maximum Dose
Total Effective Dose Equivalent (TEDE). Commonly referred to as Whole body: head and trunk, Major portion of the bone marrow, gonads.	5 Rems (0.05 Sievert)
Eye Dose Equivalent . Commonly referred to as Lens Dose Equivalent (LDE),	15 Rems (0.15 Sievert)
Shallow Dose Equivalent (SDE). Commonly referred to as skin or any extremity dose.	50 Rems (0.5 Sievert)

Although regulatory limits are defined in terms of Rem, radiation exposure at St. Joseph's is also reported in units of mRem. 1Rem is equivalent to 1,000 mRem. The following illustrates which groups of staff are receiving radiation dose at St. Joseph's Hospital Health Center facilities:



ALARA Philosophy

The average radiation dose to workers in the United States is about 210 mRem (2.1 Sieverts) per year (NCRP 1993):. The risk associated with this exposure is equivalent to the annual risk of accidental death in general industry. Workers receiving higher levels of exposure (i.e., approaching regulatory limits) would be subject to significantly greater risk than those unexposed to radiation. For this reason, regulatory dose limits should be viewed as the maximum tolerable levels. Since stochastic radiation effects, such as carcinogenesis, cannot be ruled-out at low levels of exposure, it is prudent to minimize radiation exposure whenever possible. This concept leads to the As-Low-As-Reasonably-Achievable (ALARA) philosophy.

Simply stated, the ALARA philosophy requires that all reasonable measures to reduce radiation exposure be taken. Typically, the operator defines what is reasonable. The principles discussed in this manual are intended to assist the operator in evaluating what constitutes ALARA for his/her fluoroscopy usage.

Radiation Monitoring

Unlike many workplace hazards, radiation is imperceptible to human senses. Therefore, monitoring of personnel exposed to radiation is performed using a radiation dosimeter or "badge." Monitoring is useful to identify both equipment problems and opportunities for improving individual technique (ensuring ALARA). Dosimetry results will document the staff person's level of occupational radiation dose even though this legal dose recorded by dosimetry *overestimates* exposures.

The <u>State of New York requires radiation monitoring when</u> <u>individual exposure potentially exceeds defined levels</u>. All activities involving radiation at St. Joseph's Hospital Health Center facilities have been evaluated with dosimeters issued to individuals exceeding these thresholds.



Most designated staff use a single radiation dosimeter to

monitor individual radiation exposure. This dosimeter is worn at the collar level. New York State allows some physicians to be provided with two dosimeters. As with the single dosimeter, the *collar dosimeters are placed outside of any protective equipment worn (lead aprons). The second waist dosimeter is worn underneath the lead apron.* Readings from this second badge position provide an estimate of radiation exposure to the eyes. *Dose estimates to the individual's whole body are then made using appropriate correction algorithms.*

In order to provide an accurate estimate of personal risk, radiation badges are to be used at all times when working with radiation. **It is also important to turn in the radiation badges on time**. The accuracy of the readings depends on the timely processing of the dosimeter with the corresponding control dosimeters.

The proper storage location for your *dosimetry is on a control board in a non-radiation area*. You should not bring the dosimeter with you home or from one facility to another. The hospital's Radiation Safety Officer (RSO) reviews all dosimetry records on a monthly basis. As required by New York State, investigations of any exposure exceeding the established thresholds are performed to determine whether corrective action can eliminate or reduce exposures for all concerned. The circumstances surrounding most cases of excessive radiation exposures are often readily mitigated.

Radiation reports are provided annually to all monitored personnel employed or practicing at St. Joseph's Hospital Health Center. In addition, monthly reporting of radiation exposure is also available for all radiation workers to review.

St. Joseph's Hospital Health Center Radiation Safety Program

The St. Joseph's Hospital Health Center is committed to ensuring that radiation exposure to all its staff and employees is ALARA. Full attainment of this goal is not possible without the co-operation of all medical users of radiation devices.

Hospital administration has authorized the Radiation Safety Committee (RSC) to oversee all uses of radiation. The RSC is composed of physicians, physicists, and other professionals who have extensive experience dealing with radiation protection matters. The committee appoints a qualified expert (Radiation Safety Officer or RSO) to administer and over-see its day-to-day activities. Meetings are conducted quarterly and are open to all interested parties. You may contact the RSO in the Medical Imaging Services department for information regarding the next scheduled meeting.

There are staff available to assist you in any question s you may have regarding radiation safety of use of fluoroscopy equipment her at the hospital. You may contact any of the following at Medical Imaging Services at (315) 448-5274:

- Radiology Chairman
- RSC Chairman
- Radiation Safety Officer
- Radiation Safety Specialist

REFERENCES

(AAPM 1998) American Association of Physicists in Medicine. Managing the use of fluoroscopy in medical institutions. AAPM Report No. 58. Medical Physicist Publishing, October 1998.

(ACC 1998) American College of Cardiology. Radiation safety in the practice of cardiology. ACC Expert Consensus Document, JACC No. 31, No.4: 892-913, March 1998.

(Archer 2000) Benjamin R. Archer Louis K. Wagner Protecting patients by training physicians in fluoroscopic radiation management, Journal of Applied Clinical Medical Physics, Vol.1, No.,1, Winter, 2000.

(Brent 1999) Brent RL. Developmental and Reproductive Risks of Radiological Procedures Utilizing Ionizing Radiation during pregnancy. In: Radiation protection in medicine: contemporary issues, Proceedings No. 21, National Council on Radiation Protection and Measurements, April 1999.

(Bushong, 1980) Bushong, S.C., Radiological science for technologists, Physics, biology, and protection. CV Mosby Company, Saint Louis MO, 1980.

(FDA 1994) US Food and Drug Administration. Avoidance of serious x-ray-induced skin injuries to patients during flouroscopically-guided procedures. FDA. September 1994.

(Hall 1999) Hall, EJ, Second Cancers and Genetic Susceptibility. In: Radiation protection in medicine: contemporary issues, Proceedings No. 21, National Council on Radiation Protection and Measurements, April 1999.

(Johnson 1992) Johnson LW, Moore RJ, Balter S. Review of radiation safety in the cardiac catheterization laboratory. Catheterization and Cardiovascular Diagnosis 25:186-194, 1992.

(LAM 1992) Lam, SCP and Swayne LC. Radiation safety manual for the fluoroscopist. Morristown Memorial Hospital, Morristown, NJ, May 1992.

(Lewall 1995) Lewall DB, Riley P, Hassoon, AA, McParland BJ. A fluoroscopy credentialling programme for otthopaedic surgeonse. J Bone Joint Surg [Br] 1995:77-1B:442-4.

(NCRP 1993) Limitation of Exposure to Ionizing Radiation, National Council on Radiation Protection and Measurement, NCRP Report 116, Bethesda, MD, 1993.

(NCRP 1989) Exposure of the U.S. Population from Diagnostic Medical Radiation, National Council on Radiation Protection and Measurement, NCRP Report 100, Bethesda, MD, 1989.

(NCRP 1987) National Council on Radiation Protection and Measurements. Recommendations on limits for exposure to ionizing radiation, NCRP Report No. 91, Bethesda, MD, 1987.

NYS-DOH1) Correspondence from M. Harvey August 10, 1999, Chief Radiation Equipment Section, New York State Department of Health, Bureau of Environmental Radiation Protection, 547 Flanigan Square, Troy, NY 12180.

(NYS-DOH2) Title 10-New York State Department of Health, Bureau of Environmental Radiation Protection, 547 Flanigan Square, Troy, NY 12180. Regulations, Chapter 16, Ionizing Radiation.

(Seibert 1996) Syllabus of Fluoroscopy Radiation Protection, 5th Revision, Edited by Seifert JA, California State Department of Health, Radiological Health Branch, Sacramento, CA 1996.

(Shope 1995) Thomas B. Shope, Ph.DScientific Exhibit 060PH at the 81st Scientific Asssembly and Annual Meeting of the Radiological Society of North America, November 26 - December 1, 1995. Radiology Vol. 197(P) Supplement, P449

(Swayne 1994) Swayne LC, Lam SCP, Filippone AL, Ambrose RB. Credentialling of crossover privileges in flouroscopy for nonradiologists. Radiology 190: 281-282, 1994.

(Upton 1999) Upton AC. The linear-nonthreshold dose-response model: A critical reappraisal. In: Radiation protection in medicine: contemporary issues, Proceedings No. 21, National Council on Radiation Protection and Measurements, April 1999.

(Wagner 1999) Wagner, LK. Perspectives on radiation risks to skin and other tissues in fluoroscopy. In: Radiation protection in medicine: contemporary issues, Proceedings No. 21, National Council on Radiation Protection and Measurements, April 1999.

This Page Intentionally Blank